

**It is My Inalienable, Indivisible, Inexorable &
Immutable Birth Right to Demand the Creation of a**

HINDU NATION

-- V. Sundaram

(Adapted & Compiled from: "Paramount Cry for a Hindu Nation",
By V. Sundaram, IAS Retd., 'News Today' June 6, 2006).

- **THE HINDU NATION HAS TO GAIN ITS INDEPENDENCE.** We have to start a new struggle for independence, the Hindu nation has to break the shackles of slavery and earn real freedom. This should be our first national goal. After securing freedom for Sanatana Dharma, we have to set up our own national state – A Hindu Nation-State.
- **A Hindu nation founded on Sanatana Dharma ought to be the cherished goal of all the Hindus in India today & not a fraudulent nation founded on pseudo-secularism'.**

All the Roman Catholics have their own nations. All the Protestant Christians have their own nations. All the Muslims have their own nations. The Hindus have been the primordial citizens of Akhand Bharat from the beginning of pre-history and they cannot be denied a spiritual and physical space of their own in their own native land. The saddest part of the story is that the dastardly policy of pseudo-secularism has made all the peace-loving Hindus neglected, sidelined and discarded refugees in their own native land.

What Hindus need is to wake up and unite, to recognize their common spiritual heritage and work together to manifest it in the world today, just as modern teachers like Vivekananda and Aurobindo demonstrated through their lives and teachings. They sought to remedy the drawback by going to the core of Hindu spirituality, the spirit of Unity in recognition of the Divine in all, not by trying to cast a shadow on Hinduism as a whole.

SYNOPSIS:

Are Hindus helpless refugees in their own ancestral homeland?
– Anti-Hindu forces united in their resolve to destroy Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture – Hindu culture can meet a frightful fate if there were no Hindu society to sustain it – Against the onslaughts of external invaders and influences down the Ages – Sanatana Dharma will suffer an eclipse if there is no Hindu society to sustain it – The great wealth of artistic, literary and scientific heritage will die if Hindu society which created it is no more there to preserve, protect and perpetuate it – Wicked forces with a determined agenda for destroying all things Hindu – Hindus have become third class citizens in our own country – Inimical forces have forged a 'United Front' which is holding Hindu society under a siege today – We Hindus are a 'Nation unto ourselves' – we are the oldest surviving nation on earth – The object of daily worship to all the Hindus round the world for secular living with dignity and independence in these troubled times – The concept of Hindu Nationhood or what we call Hindutva or Hindu Rashtra from times immemorial – Subjugation for more than 1000 years and adoption of foreign culture by certain segments of our nation – 'We Hindus are a nation' is by no means a new idea – The essential feature of Hindu Nationhood is the Hindu religion, the 'Sanatana Dharma' – 'We Hindus are a Nation' unto ourselves and not just a religious community – Lord Minto conspired and plotted against the Hindu freedom fighters – Jawaharlal Nehru and his concept of false nationhood under the label of 'secularism' – Nehru's total contempt for Hindu religion and Hindu culture – Nehru dismissed the Hindus of India as a mere religious community without any cultural traditions – Mahatma Gandhi vis-e-vis Nehru – We have before us two alternate perceptions of our nation and nationhood: one of Swami Vivekananda and other of Jawaharlal Nehru – Who is telling the truth, Swami Vivekananda or Pundit Jawaharlal Nehru? – The invasion of Nehruvian secularism, started on August 15, 1947, is still continuing unabated and unchallenged – Nehruism or secularism, a pernicious anti-Hindu ideology and its disastrous

socio-political consequences – The vicious efforts that have been made by the Congress during the last 50 years to weaken the Hindus – A bogus idea called 'Sarva-Dharma-Samabava' – A State-sponsored cult of denigrating the 'Sanatana Dharma' promoted, by the Nehru family and the Congress party – The grave consequence of Nehru's composite culture of 'secularism' – Debasement, debauchment and degeneration of social conscience – The purpose of promoting the fraudulent concept of the so called 'Composite Culture' – The ground level reality is just the reverse – Fraud practiced by the Congress party during the last 60 years with disastrous consequences for national unity and national consciousness – The foundation of the pseudo-secularism is not composite culture but only minority communal vote bank politics! – Islamic perception of this so-called 'composite culture' – According to the Quran, this land of ours is 'A Land of Darkness' – Concept of a composite nation 'secularly' combining Hindus and Muslims is false to the core – Most communal riots in India are started by the Muslims quite frequently – India today does not represent the soul of India but only its shadow – The true leaders and teachers of India must speak out – The Hindus should be galvanized into action for the defence of Hinduism against the combined onslaught of the anti-Hindu forces – The majority Hindus in India have the overriding primacy to claim their inalienable fundamental rights in their own native homeland – The devilish dance of pseudo-secularism – For Vivekananda we are a Hindu Nation rooted in Sanatana Dharma, for Nehru we are a secular nation having nothing to do with things Hindu or Sanatana Dharma – The harsh fact is that on 15 August, 1947, the 'Muslim Nation' of undivided India, thanks to Jinnah, gained freedom, but not the Hindus – Our objection is to the alien ideologies which only believe in total destruction of Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture – A State has to run its affairs in accordance with the hopes, aspirations, ethos, ideals and culture of the nation – The Indian State is more liberal in advancing the cause of propagation of alien cultures like Christianity and Islam than the propagation of Hindu culture – Pseudo-secularism at its noblest, purest and loftiest level! – The Supreme Court of India has indicted the government of India and some State

governments for pursuing anti-national policy – What has the government of India done to stop this planned genocide of Hindus in Jammu and Kashmir? – There is no State today in India, to protect Hindu interests in the international arena, to raise voice for the Hindus – The present Constitution of India is not based on Hindu ideals and ethos – Our inherited, inalienable, indivisible, inexorable and immutable historic right to liberate Hundreds of holy sites of the Hindus – The GOI has been trying to edge out Sanskrit, the sacred language of the Hindus from the days of the Vedas and the Upanishads, with political vendetta – An affront to the dignity of Indian culture and self-respect of individual Indians – The fraudulently malicious superstructure of pseudo-secularism erected by the Congress party after independence – The Hindu nation is rooted in Sanatana Dharma – A Hindu nation founded on Sanatana Dharma ought to be the cherished goal of all the Hindus in India today – What Hindus need is to wake up and unite, to recognize their common spiritual heritage and work together to manifest it in the world today – Nehru declared his political intention to drive out Hinduism and Sanatana Dharma from India – Labored intellectual and political arguments for supporting the wanton attacks on Sanatana Dharma and Hinduism by alien ideologies – The long travail of struggle, sorrow, suffering undergone by Hindus for more than thousand years under the stranglehold of alien rulers – The pseudo-secular rulers have raped Bharath Mata with gay abandon during the last 60 years – It is not India's Dharma to slavishly imitate the west in culture, mind or religion – Revive India's spiritual culture and share it for the benefit of all mankind – What would a national vision mean? – Need for a national consciousness or clarity of national vision among the Hindus – The foremost task before the patriotic and nationalistic Hindus in India today – The Hindu Nation Has to Gain Its Independence.

=====

Hindu Dharma is the quintessence of our national life, hold fast to it if you want your country to survive, or else you would be wiped out in three generations.

— SWAMI VIVEKANANDA

The ideology of Hindu Dharma is completely out of tune with the present times and if it took root in India, it would smash the country to pieces.

— JAWAHARLAL NEHRU.

* * *

Are Hindus helpless refugees in their own ancestral homeland?

It is my inalienable, indivisible, inexorable and immutable birth right to demand the creation of a Hindu Nation. I am not ashamed or afraid of declaring from the housetop that I am a political Hindu, a cultural Hindu, a spiritual Hindu, an economic Hindu, a nationalist Hindu, an internationalist Hindu and above all a cosmopolitan Hindu. I am forced to assert on these lines because Sanatana Dharma, Hindu Religion, Hindu Society, Hindu culture and Hindu ethos are under the siege of certain lethal international (!) forces today.

Anti-Hindu forces united in their resolve to destroy Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture.

The whole of India is getting converted into a 'Nazi Land' of pseudo-secularism with a clearly defined and declared agenda for the decimation and destruction of Sanatana Dharma, Hindu Society and Hindu culture. In Nazi Germany, there was only one criminal gang consisting of one 'Herr' Hitler, one Goebbels, one Goering, one Ribbentrop, one Von Papen, and one Himmler. But in the wretched India of today, we have more than half a dozen similar gangsters in each political party, all united in their resolve to destroy all remnants of Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture.

Hindu culture can meet a frightful fate if there were no Hindu society to sustain it.

Hindu society is the only significant society in the world today which presents a continuity of cultural existence, consciousness and functioning since times immemorial. Most of the other societies known to human history – East and West, North and South – have suffered a sudden interruption and undergone a traumatic transformation due to the invasion and victory of latter day ideologists – Christianity, Islam, Communism. The pre-Christian, pre-Islamic and pre-Communist cultural creations and artefacts of these societies are now to be seen or met with only in Libraries and Museums, thanks to the labors of archaeologists and antiquarian scholars. Hindu culture can meet the same frightful fate if there were no Hindu society to sustain it. This is the point which is not always remembered even by those who take pride in Hindu culture.

Against the onslaughts of external invaders and influences down the Ages

There are many Hindus who cherish the great spiritual traditions of Hinduism and its scriptures like the Gita and Upanishads in which this glorious tradition is enshrined. But they do not cherish with an equal conviction, much less enthusiasm, the Hindu society which has honored and preserved these traditions and scriptures against the onslaughts of external invaders and influences down the Ages.

Sanatana Dharma will suffer an eclipse if there is no Hindu society to sustain it.

Again, there are many Hindus who proclaim with great confidence that Sanatana Dharma or Hinduism can never die. 'This is true in a sense. There will always be individuals in 'Non-Hindu Societies' who will recover the mystique of Sanatana Dharma through their efforts at self-discovery. But 'Sanatana Dharma' will surely suffer an eclipse and no more inform mankind at large with its message, if there is no Hindu society to sustain it.'

The great wealth of artistic, literary and scientific heritage will die if Hindu society which created it is no more there to preserve, protect and perpetuate it.

Finally there are many Hindus who are legitimately proud of Hindu art, architecture, sculpture, music, painting, dance, drama, literature, linguistics, lexicography and so on. But all of them fail to notice the fact that this great wealth of artistic, literary and scientific heritage will die if Hindu society which created it is no more there to preserve, protect and perpetuate it.

Wicked forces with a determined agenda for destroying all things Hindu

The sudden death of Hindu society is no longer a theoretical eventuality which is either remote or cannot be envisaged. This great society is now besieged by the same dark and deadly forces which have overwhelmed and obliterated many ancient societies. Suffering from a loss of its 'élan', Hindu society has become a house divided within itself. Its beneficiaries seem to be impotent in taking necessary steps for ensuring its survival because they themselves have fallen victims to hostile propaganda from the wicked forces of Islam, Christianity, Nehruvian Secularism, menacing Marxism, and above all Hindu-baiting Macaulayism. Presiding over all these forces with a determined agenda for destroying all things Hindu, we have the Islam-embracing, Christianity-coveting and Hindu-hating UPA Government in New Delhi under the triangular stranglehold of Roman Catholicism, Pan-Islamism and Communism in the name of 'pseudo-secularism'.

Hindus have become third class citizens in our own country

Hindu society which has survived the onslaught of Islamic marauders and Western freebooters for centuries should indeed be regarded as one of the great wonders of world history. In the normal course Hindus should have come into their own after 1947 and created a new era of social and

cultural renaissance in free India. Thanks to the politically motivated policy of Nehruvian Secularism, Hindus have become third class citizens in our own country. They are no longer the honored citizens of our land. Like leprosy in British India, a permanent stigma seems to have stuck to the terms 'Hindu' and 'Hinduism' in today's India. They have become terms of abuse in the mouth of that very elite which the dumb Hindu millions have raised to the pinnacle of power and prestige with their blood, toil, sweat and tears.

Inimical forces have forged a 'United Front' which is holding Hindu society under a siege today

The Muslim and British invasions of India, though defeated and dispersed, have yet managed to 'crystallize' certain 'residues' – psychological and intellectual – which a battered Hindu society is finding it very difficult to digest. It is suspected that these residues in the monopoly control of the Congress party are now in active alliance with powerful international forces and are being aided and abetted on a scale which an impoverished Hindu society cannot match. Although at loggerheads among themselves till 2004, these residues have forged a 'United Front' which is holding Hindu society under a siege today. This grave mortal danger is as much promoted 'from within' as 'from without'.

We Hindus are a 'Nation unto ourselves – we are the oldest surviving nation on earth'

It is against this background it has become necessary for all the Hindus of India, living in India and abroad, to become resolved in their collective declaration to the world: 'We Hindus are a nation'. Our misconception regarding Hindu Nation, Hindutva and Hindu Rashtra has arisen from the fact that we have not tried to comprehend the definition of a nation. We normally take a nation to stand for a country, a land mass, a specific portion of the globe. But a nation never means a land as such. A nation indicates a group or community of people which has been traditionally living in a particular land, which has its own

distinctive culture, and which has an identity separate from other peoples of the world by virtue of the distinctiveness of its culture. The cultural distinctiveness of a nation may be based on its race, or religion, or language, or a combination of some or all of these factors, but all-in-all there has to be a distinct culture which will mark the nation out from peoples belonging to other lands. There may be internal differences in several respects among the people belonging to its culture, but in spite of these differences there will be an overall sense of harmony born out of the fundamental elements of their culture and a sense of pride which inspires in them a desire to maintain their separate identity from the rest of the world. Finally, as a result of these factors, this group of people has its own outlook towards the history of its traditional homeland; it has its own heroes and villains, its own view of glory and shame, success and failure, victory and defeat. All right thinking Hindus will wholly concur, once we start looking at things from this point of view, we immediately see not only we Hindus are a 'Nation unto ourselves, but also we are the oldest surviving nation on earth'. We Hindus are not just a religious community like the Muslims and the Christians, but a nation unto ourselves. The term 'Hindu' is the name of our nationality. We Hindus are a Nation – this is a basic, simple and undisputable truth. Unfortunately, even the simple truth we are unable to appreciate and that fatal failure lies at the root of all our misconceptions and miseries today.

The object of daily worship to all the Hindus round the world for secular living with dignity and independence in these troubled times

Karl Marx's Das Capital is an object of worship to all the Communists round the world. Likewise, in my view, 'The Concept of Hindu Nation' (by Abhas Chatterjee published by Voice of India, New Delhi in 1995) ought to become the object of daily worship to all the Hindus round the world for secular living with dignity and independence in these troubled times.

The concept of Hindu Nationhood or what we call Hindutva or Hindu Rashtra from times immemorial

No one can dispute that the traditional homeland of Hindus is the Bharatavarsha. It is a distinct geographical entity. There are few nations in the world the natural boundaries of whose homeland are as clearly drawn as that of ours. And the Hindus have been intimately associated with this land, lived on it, developed their culture and civilization on it, and regarded it as sacred soil for at least 6000 years, if not more. When I say Bharatavarsha in the sense of the Motherland of the Hindus, I do not refer to the boundaries of the truncated India created by Jinnah, and Nehru in 1947, but to those of our traditional homeland which extends continuously from Gandhara to Kamrup and from Kashmir to Kanyakumari. This basically has been the concept of Hindu Nationhood or what we call Hindutva or Hindu Rashtra from times immemorial.

Subjugation for more than 1000 years and adoption of foreign culture by certain segments of our nation

We remained subjugated for more than 1000 years when foreign rulers ruled over our country. By barbaric persecution or economic inducements, they compelled certain segments of our nation to abandon their ancestral culture and adopt the culture of those foreign invaders. These segments of our population forgot that the culture they had adopted was a foreign culture, a culture that belonged to another nation and had been forced on them. But subjugation cannot by itself destroy the nationhood of a nation. Nor can the nature of the nation, the basic characteristic and disposition of the nation, get altered or changed by the fact of enslavement. Nations do not get destroyed. We Hindus are a nation just as the Germans are a nation, the Armenians are a nation, the Kurds are a nation, and the Jews are a nation. Germany was divided into two after the II World War. But this did not abate their nationhood. Within 50 years, they achieved reunification of their motherland and the nation has been restored to its original character and complexion.

'We Hindus are a nation' is by no means a new idea.

The fact that 'We Hindus are a nation' is by no means a new idea. This idea has been with us from the dawn of history. Without going into the hoary past, let me trace it from the latter half of the 19th century. If we read the writings of Swami Dayanand Saraswati of Arya Samaj, we can see the underlying notion that 'We Hindus are a Nation'. We can see the same refrain in the writings of Bankim Chandra Chatterjee of Bande Mataram fame and Sri Aurobindo. In their writings we find a clear and confident assertion that 'We Hindus are a Nation' and not just a religious community. The basic perception of Vir Savarkar was also the same which he forcefully presented in his book 'Hindutva'. However, the saint, sage and seer Swami Vivekananda made the most powerful pronouncement of this idea. Throughout his brief but momentous life, Swami Vivekananda proclaimed loudly, clearly and consistently the fact that 'We Hindus are a Nation'.

The essential feature of Hindu Nationhood is the Hindu religion, the 'Sanatana Dharma'

In his brief 5-minute first address, Swami Vivekananda declared at the inaugural session of the Parliament of Religions at Chicago in 1893: 'I thank you in the name of the millions and millions of Hindu people of all classes and sects'. Then he spoke three sentences which were most significant. First, he said: 'I am proud to belong to a Religion which has taught the world both tolerance and universal acceptance.' In the second sentence he said: 'I am proud to belong to a Nation which has sheltered the persecuted and the refugees of all religions and all nations of the earth'. In this context, he cited an example, namely, that when the sacred temple of the Jews was demolished by the Romans, we Hindus gave shelter to the Jewish refugees and took care of them in India. In the third sentence, Swamiji said: 'I am proud to belong to a Religion which has sheltered and is still fostering the remnants of the grand Zoroastrian nation'. In these three consecutive sentences, Swami Vivekananda proclaimed his pride of

belonging first 'to a religion', then 'to a nation' and again 'to a religion'. It will be clear that Swami Vivekananda used the words 'Religion' and 'Nation' as synonymous terms. No pseudo-secularist in India today can have any doubt about what religion or nation Swamiji was talking about and what his perception of nationhood was. Swami Vivekananda is emphatically clear that the essential feature of Hindu Nationhood is the Hindu religion, the 'Sanatana Dharma'. He is only declaring 'We Hindus are a Nation'. In 1909, Sri Aurobindo expressed the same view in his historic Uttarpara speech: 'India is identical with 'Sanatana Dharma'. When therefore it is said that India shall rise, it is the 'Sanatana Dharma' that shall rise. When it is said that India shall expand and extend itself, it is the 'Sanatana Dharma' that shall expand and extend itself over the world. It is for the Dharma and by the Dharma that India exists. I say that it is the 'Sanatana Dharma' which for us is nationalism'.

'We Hindus are a Nation' unto ourselves and not just a religious community

The cardinal fact that 'We Hindus are a Nation' unto ourselves and not just a religious community formed the crucial plank of our first national movement in 1905, viz. the 'Swadeshi Movement' in undivided Bengal. When Bengal was partitioned by Lord Curzon in 1905, the entire Hindu society rose up with a national inspiration. No one at that time had the slightest doubt that 'We Hindus are a Nation'. That is why in Calcutta city, on the first day of the agitation 50,000 people took a collective oath before Goddess Kali in the Holy Kalighat Temple to the effect that 'we shall throw the British out of our homeland'. The famous historian R.C. Majumdar rightly concluded that he saw no difference in those days between Sanatana Dharma and Indian Nationalism.

Lord Minto conspired and plotted against the Hindu freedom fighters

When Lord Minto conspired and plotted against the Hindu freedom fighters of Bengal in 1906, he roped in the Muslims

under Agah Khan to create the Muslim League in order to divide the country. The era of Muslim appeasement started by Lord Minto, reached its climax in 1920 when Mahatma Gandhi became the Chairman of the Kilafat Movement for the restoration of the Turkish Monarchy and Empire. Thereafter, at every stage Muslims were appeased by Congress Leaders and yet they failed to convince Mohammed Ali Jinnah about the need for the continued existence of a united India even after British withdrawal from India. It has to be sadly borne in mind that after 1933, Jinnah was continuously proclaiming 'We Muslims are a Nation' till the birth of Pakistan on August 14, 1947.

Jawaharlal Nehru and his concept of false nationhood under the label of 'secularism'

After Indian independence, Jawaharlal Nehru promoted his concept of false nationhood under the label of 'secularism'. According to this concept, all the people who happen to reside on the soil of India form a nation, whether he follows the culture of this country or not, whether he is loyal to this country or not. It does not matter if the state-aided minorities dismiss the time-honored culture of this country 'Sanatana Dharma' as abominable and as a path of the Devil. Thus in a mischievous way Nehru turned the concept of nationhood into a geographic entity and bade good-bye to the established principles of nationhood founded on emotional unity and all that it implies. According to this Nehru's notion, Hindus of India in majority have to lose the inheritance of their traditional homeland. Nehru used his political might to propagate this philosophy and this became the corner stone of all his policies and proved disastrous for the Hindus.

Nehru's total contempt for Hindu religion and Hindu culture

In 1949, Nehru said that 'to talk of Hindu culture would injure India's interests'. He himself had admitted more than once that by Education he was an Englishman, by views an

internationalist, by culture a Muslim, and a Hindu only by accidental birth. With all this massive evidence, there is little scope for doubt that Nehru had total contempt for Hindu religion, for Hindu culture, for Hindu society and for the average Hindu. This alternate concept of nationhood as opposed to 'Sanatana Dharma', meant State-engineered opposition to the Hindus in all walks of life. Antagonism to Hindus emerged as the corner stone of State policy. The ideology of Nehruism came to be lauded, acclaimed, promoted and propagated under the name 'Secularism' till it came to be treated beyond reproach, beyond debate and beyond discussion. In short, all the Hindus of India are only disposable condoms.

Nehru dismissed the Hindus of India as a mere religious community without any cultural traditions

The need for the creation of a Hindu nation based on Sanatana Dharma was again and again stressed by Swami Vivekananda throughout his very brief life time. Jawaharlal Nehru preached the message of what I call 'secularism' which is only another name for Nehruism. Nehru dismissed the Hindus of India as a mere religious community without any cultural traditions going back to the dawn of history. While delivering a lecture at the Lucknow University in 1951, Nehru said: "The ideology of Hindu Dharma is completely out of tune with the present times and if it took root in India, it would smash the country to pieces."

Mahatma Gandhi vis-e-vis Nehru

Unlike Mahatma Gandhi who was not afraid of proclaiming from the house top that he was a devout Hindu and a staunch supporter of Sanatana Dharma, Nehru took special pride in announcing his Himalayan ignorance of Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture from all public platforms. Dressed in brief mortal authority, Nehru's supercilious purblind audacity reached its acme when he wrote to Kailash Nath Katju in 1953: "In practice the individual Hindu is more intolerant and more narrow-minded than almost any person in any other country. "

We have before us two alternate perceptions of our nation and nationhood: one of Swami Vivekananda and other of Jawaharlal Nehru.

Thus we have before us two alternate perceptions of our nation and nationhood one of Swami Vivekananda and other of Jawaharlal Nehru. Swami Vivekananda represented the time-defying sources and forces of truth; Jawaharlal Nehru represented the sources and forces of falsehood, fraud and ignorance. Swami Vivekananda says that Hindu Dharma is the quintessence of our national life, hold fast to it if you want your country to survive, or else you would be wiped out in three generations. Jawaharlal Nehru, a mere 50 years later, tells us that if the Hindu Dharma thrives, the country will smash to pieces. "Swami Vivekananda says that Hindu culture is the life-current of our nation, Jawaharlal Nehru says it would injure the nation even to talk of Hindu culture. One was supremely proud of being a Hindu, the other so ashamed of it as to reject it as an accident of birth."

Who is telling the truth, Swami Vivekananda or Pundit Jawaharlal Nehru?

Obviously, both these perceptions cannot be right at the same time. The bounden duty that falls upon every patriotic Indian today is to ask the fundamental question: who is telling the truth, Swami Vivekananda or Pundit Jawaharlal Nehru? At any rate the mercenaries in the UPA Government, I hope, would not degenerate to the extent of dismissing Swami Vivekananda as communal and saffronized. To such puerile and petty men, I would like give this rejoinder: Vivekananda was not interested in becoming Prime Minister and starting a Vivekananda dynasty.

The invasion of Nehruvian secularism, started on August 15, 1947, is still continuing unabated and unchallenged

The greatest tragedy in our national life after 1947, much more disastrous than the invasion of India by Muslim Marauders like

Timur in 1398, Babar in 1526, Nadir Shah in 1739 and Ahmed Shah Abdali in 1756-57, has been the invasion of Nehruvian secularism which started on August 15, 1947 and is still continuing unabated and unchallenged, clothed in the aura of official authority, now institutionalized into a Nehru family heirloom.

Nehruism or secularism, a pernicious anti-Hindu ideology and its disastrous socio-political consequences

Nehru's viciously political concept of a geographical or composite nation, Nehruism or secularism, a pernicious anti-Hindu ideology, came to be lauded, acclaimed, promoted and propagated by most political parties and the mafia of mass media in India and abroad, under the name of secularism till it came to be treated as beyond reproach, beyond public debate and discussion. Nehru and his family used the might of their public offices for three generations to implant this poisonous weed of secularism in the Hindu psyche. This has led to some disastrous socio-political consequences.

The vicious efforts that have been made by the Congress during the last 50 years to weaken the Hindus

The first implication was that Hindus being the largest community, it was latently and potentially dangerous for the other communities. Government of India and its agencies master-minded this propaganda. Secondly, since this community posed a potential threat to the other communities, the better it would be for the health of the nation if the Hindu community is check-mated on every issue affecting them. Nehru's own official pronouncements from time to time till his death in 1964 will bear out this fact. Thirdly, the good of the other communities was also automatically the national good because their participation constituted a crucial element of our nationhood. "Hence the theory of Nehruism/secularism: Make the Hindu community as weak as you can, by creating internal divisions in it, by denigrating its culture, by inflicting insults upon it, and by whatever other means you can afford. All the right

thinking and independent-spirited Indians in India and abroad have become fully aware of the vicious efforts that have been made by the Congress during the last 50 years to weaken the Hindus in pursuance of this theory."

A bogus idea called 'Sarva-Dharma-Samabava'

Fourthly, in keeping with this new concept, a new idea called 'Sarva-Dharma-Samabava' was propagated. According to this concept, since our 'Nation' was constituted by an assemblage of several religious communities like Hindu, Muslim, Christian, etc. we should regard the ideology or religion of every community as India's national heritage and hold them all in equal respect. That is, we should yield the same place to the Quran and the Bible in our thinking as we do for the Baghavat Gita. We should have the same reverence for Mohammed and Jesus as we have for Lord Rama, Lord Krishna and Baghavan Buddha. Incidentally, all the Hindus of India know the reverence with which Lord Krishna and Lord Rama are treated by people of other religious faiths coming under the umbrella of 'Sarva-Dharma-Samabava'.

A State-sponsored cult of denigrating the 'Sanatana Dharma' promoted, by the Nehru family and the Congress party

Fifthly, since the distinctiveness of the largest Hindu community was Sanatana Dharma, it was considered necessary by the Nehru family and the Congress party (in effect Government of India after August 15, 1947) to run down Sanatana Dharma as well for ensuring the good of other minority communities. A State-sponsored cult of denigrating the 'Sanatana Dharma' was promoted, directly or indirectly, by these and many other similar calumnies.

We were taught to look at the history of Bharatvarsha from a new angle of State-sponsored pseudo-secular vision. Finally, we were taught to look at the history of Bharatvarsha from a new angle of State-sponsored pseudo-secular vision. We were

asked to regard Indian history as one of synthesis. "We were asked to overlook the barbaric tyranny that was perpetrated on us in order to force Islam down our throat from 1025 AD till 1707 AD. The horrible persecution, the blunder, the vandalism, and the massacres should all be forgotten. Or we should assume these to have been the personal aberrations of a few Rulers. In order to project the history of India as a history of synthesis, an imaginary course of events was constructed, viz. that from olden days foreigners kept on coming to India and settling down by and by, that they kept getting mingled with one another and a new 'nation' was formed, a new culture was thus created. So, we don't really have a national culture of our own but only a hybrid composite culture."

The grave consequence of Nehru's composite culture of 'secularism'

Let me look at Nehru's composite culture of 'secularism'. As per the Quran, the Hindus come under the category of 'Mushriks', whom that 'Holy' Book does not even grant the right to live!! The Quran does not permit its adherence to live peacefully as equal citizens with the 'Kafirs' in any country. Therefore, the concept of a composite nation combining Hindus and Muslims is false to its very core. But the affairs of our State have been conducted since 1947 entirely on the basis of this false perception of nationality. All our national weaknesses and problems today spring from this basic mis-belief if not mischief. This artificial vision of nationality on which our system is functioning is quite different from real nationality. The grave consequence of this basic dichotomy has been a complete evaporation of national inspiration in the country.

Debasement, debauchment and degeneration of social conscience

A nationalistic and patriotic Hindu of post-independent India has great difficulty in feeling himself to be a part of the imaginary nation for which the whole system is being operated. He is unable to identify himself emotionally with this imaginary,

artificial, 'composite' national entity which the Indian State has been representing. An enormous gulf has been created between the 'Nation' and the 'State', between the national society and the ruling class. It is this gulf which is causing disintegration and decimation of the national society, disappearance of collective consciousness and sensitivity, debasement, debauchment and degeneration of social conscience. It is because of this politically motivated and criminally perpetrated hiatus between a false nation and a truly blood-sucking State that there is a continuous proliferation of selfishness, corruption and moral degradation in all walks of our national life today. The national society has been alienated from the State and the national will to face problems has all but vanished. If we turn away in fear or hesitation and not stand up for what we believe to be true, it is not modesty, but self betrayal.

The purpose of promoting the fraudulent concept of the so called 'Composite Culture'

Apart from the Nehru clan, apart from the self-seeking politicians from some political parties and a few film stars from Bollywood, no one else in India is interested in talking about the fraudulent concept of the so called 'Composite Culture'. The purpose of promoting the concept of 'composite culture' in India is simple, it is that all the dumb Hindus of India in majority should accept Islamic and to some extent Christian culture also as part of our own culture and yet the others are under no obligation to treat Hindu culture as part of their culture. It is the duty of the Hindu majority to view Muslim culture and Christian culture as part of their culture. The minorities have the inalienable right to dismiss all aspects of majority Hindu culture as communal, non-secular and saffronized!! And all the official agencies of the government in India will go out of their way to uphold this cultural right of the minority groups. In other words, Swami Dayanand Saraswati, Sri Ramakrishna Parahamsa, Swami Vivekananda, Sri Aurobindo, Sri Ramana Maharishi and such others are non-secular, communal and saffronized. All the Mullahs and Imams, all the Bishops and the Archbishops are

secular, non-communal and non-saffronized, ever green or ever white as the case may be.

The ground level reality is just the reverse

The ground level reality, however, is just the reverse. Even after a thousand years of so-called now hot-war, now cold-war co-existence, Hindu and Islamic cultures have not only remained parallel cultures but have also come in conflict on every conceivable point century after century after 1000 AD. "In matters physical or spiritual, of principle or of practice, on every point sublime or trivial, the two cultures have remained as unblended as oil and water. Islamic culture was brought to this country by barbaric invaders and tried relentlessly for a thousand years to extirpate the national Hindu culture. For us, therefore, it is nothing short of an enemy culture, a parasitic culture. It is also a bitter truth that there are fundamental differences between the two cultures; their premises and ethos are so antithetical to each other that they can never become composite in any foreseeable future.

Fraud practiced by the Congress party during the last 60 years with disastrous consequences for national unity and national consciousness

I do concede that in almost every country in the world there would be some cultural interaction with neighboring countries or other countries and where one can see or find fragments of certain alien cultures. For example, in the border areas of France on the South-west, we have traces of Spanish culture. Likewise, in the North-eastern areas of Spain, we have traces of French culture. But this does not destroy the essential character and substance of either national Spanish culture or national French culture. We have not had Prime Ministers and politicians at the highest levels of governance in Spain or France proclaiming to their countrymen, your culture is non-existent and that you should worry about the survival of the culture of our great and compassionate 'secular' neighbor. Unfortunately, it is this type of fraud which has been practiced

by the Congress party during the last 60 years with disastrous consequences for national unity and national consciousness.

The foundation of the pseudo-secularism is not composite culture but only minority communal vote bank politics!

I do concede that some Muslims in India have taken to Hindu music or Muslim Rulers have left some buildings or innate religious liberalism has inspired Hindus in some parts of India to pay obeisance to the tombs of Muslim Fakirs in some places. These spectacular instances can hardly make our national culture composite. Yet, this is the fare on which we have raised the fraudulent superstructure of our 'secularism' in India by amending the Indian Constitution as and when we wanted it in order to create and promote a false notion of nationhood founded on the airy political nothing of pseudo-secularism. The foundation of the pseudo-secularism is not composite culture but only minority communal vote bank politics!

Islamic perception of this so-called 'composite culture'

What has been the known Islamic perception of this so-called 'composite culture'? We have irrefutable documentary evidence relating to the views of all the Muslim theologians of India from the 18th century onwards. Shah Waliullah in the 18th century, Shariathullah, Syed Ahmed Barelvi, Abdul Aziz, Titu Mir, etc in the 19th century, and Mohammed Iqbal, Maulana Maudoodi and others in the 20th century have all categorically stated what I have stated above. None of these worthies ever accepted that Muslims and Hindus of India together make one nation or that our culture is a composite one. All of them have consistently emphasized that in India Muslims have a separate nationality (Qaum, Awam) and a separate culture. And frankly, if you ask me, if I had been a Muslim, I too would have never accepted that my nationality and culture are the same as that of non-Muslims in India. If I were a true and devout Muslim, I would myself declare that the Holy Quran itself firmly rejects such an idea. As per the Quran, the Muslims by themselves constitute a separate nation, the Ummah, a person within the fold of Kafir (every non-Muslim) can never be a part of the 'Ummah'.

According to the Quran, this land of ours is 'A Land of Darkness'.

Kafirs, the practitioners of 'Kufr', are also of two categories in the eyes of Islam, the 'Zimmis' and the 'Mushriks'. Jews and Christians who are 'Kitabis' (the people with a revealed book) are regarded as 'zimmis'. The 'Zimmis' can live in an Islamic State provided they pay the poll-tax ('Jizyah') and renounce all public life. Twenty (20) degrading conditions are imposed on them in exchange for the favor of the right to live. They are reduced to third grade or fourth grade citizens. The 'Mushriks', the 'idol worshippers' like the Hindus, have no right to exist. For them, the only choice is between Islam and death. As per the Quran, we Hindus come under this category of 'Mushriks', whom the Holy Book does not even grant the right to live. According to the Quran, this land of ours is 'Jahiliyyah' – A Land of Darkness.

Concept of a composite nation 'secularly' combining Hindus and Muslims is false to the core

Against this clear and telling background, it should be clear to anyone that the concept of a composite nation 'secularly' combining Hindus and Muslims is false to the core. But all our national affairs have been conducted on the basis of this premise. To sustain this fundamental untruth, all the governmental agencies are forced to resort to other planted or chosen untruths. It is well known that Bangladeshi Muslims are infiltrating into Assam, Bengal, Bihar and other parts of India in millions, but you cannot say that the infiltrators are Muslims.

Most communal riots in India are started by the Muslims quite frequently

In Kashmir, Muslims are waging a jihad and tyrannizing the entire Hindu population, driving them out of the valley. And yet, you have to say that the strife in Kashmir is not communal, it is only a battle for Kashmiriyat! When Muslim terrorists carry out bomb explosions in Hindu places of worship you have to say that it is the handy work of Pakistanis or underworld dons. Most

communal riots in India are started by the Muslims quite frequently. You have to say that there is a clash, between two groups of people and avoid mentioning as to who started the carnage and whenever and wherever possible to blame the Hindus with full governmental benediction.

India today does not represent the soul of India but only its shadow

“...India must undergo a radical change. India today does not represent the soul of India but only its shadow. It is inexcusable for the land of the Rishis to be filled with such corruption, ignorance and servility as pervades the country today. Those who know the true spiritual greatness of India can only be shocked and disheartened to see the state of the country.” Let India awaken and for this the true spirit of Arjuna must arise! This is the prayer for the next millennium and for the world's deliverance.

The true leaders and teachers of India must speak out.

In my view, to blindly accept this State-sponsored falsehood is not tolerance or non-violence. It is self-destruction. If we turn away in fear or hesitation and not stand up for what we believe to be true, it is not modesty, but self betrayal. The true leaders and teachers of India, those who are willing to defend at all costs, 'Sanatana Dharma', the religion of Truth and the culture of Universality, must speak out.

The Hindus should be galvanized into action for the defence of Hinduism against the combined onslaught of the anti-Hindu forces

Lord Krishna's immortal message to Arjuna on the battle field of Kurukshetra in the Mahabharata is very relevant and should inspire all the Hindus today to be galvanized into action for the defence of Hinduism against the combined onslaught of Secularism and pseudo-secularism, Islam, Christianity, Nehruism, Mecaulayism and Communism. 'Arise Arjuna! Yours is not a battle at one point of time only. It is for all time. It must be fought over and over again, even for eternity. Truth cannot

compromise itself with falsehood. Someone has to hold the limit. If not you, who will it be? And what will you say to your children? What will you bequeath to them having surrendered your soul without a struggle?'

The majority Hindus in India have the over-riding primacy to claim their inalienable fundamental rights in their own native homeland

If rejection of 'all things Hindu' and 'all things Indian' can be claimed as a minority right, the majority Hindus in India have the over-riding primacy to claim their inalienable fundamental rights in their own native homeland. Hindu Religion, Hindu Nation, Hindu Race and Hindu India, all these four terms are synonymous and completely interchangeable in all the writings of Swami Vivekananda. At many places, Swamiji used the words 'Hindu' and 'India' in identical sense in the same sentence. I would like to make this point clear by quoting a very famous paragraph of Swami Vivekananda from his article called 'Modern India' in which he wrote: Oh India! Forget not that the ideal of thy womanhood is Sita, Savitri, Damayanthi; forget not that the God thou worshippest is the great ascetic of ascetics, the all-renouncing Umanath Shankara; forget not that thy marriage, thy wealth, thy life are not for sense-pleasure, are not for thy individual personal happiness; forget not that thou art born as a sacrifice to the Mother's altar; forget not that thy social order is but the reflex of the infinite Mahamaya, forget not that the lower classes, the ignorant, the poor, the illiterate, the cobbler, the sweeper, are thy flesh and blood, thy brothers. Thou brave one, be bold, take courage, be proud that thou art an Indian (Bharatvasi), and proudly proclaim, 'I am an Indian, every Indian is my brother. Say, the ignorant Indian, the poor and destitute Indian, the Brahmin Indian, the Pariah Indian is my brother'. Thou, too, clad with a rag round thy loins proudly proclaim at the top of thy voice: 'The Indian is my brother, the Indian is my life. India's Gods and Goddesses are my God. India's society is the cradle of my infancy, the pleasure-garden of my youth, the sacred heaven, the Varanasi of my old age'. Say brother: 'The soil of India is my highest heaven, the good

of India is my good' and repeat and pray day and night, 'Oh! Gaurinath, Oh! Jagadambe, bestow manliness unto me! Oh! Thou mother of strength, take away my weakness, take away my unmanliness, and make me a Man'!

In the above paragraph Swamiji uses the word 'India' (Bharata) five times and Indian (Bharatvasi) eight times. Whom was he addressing by these words? Did he not say that the ideal of thy womanhood is Sita, Savitri and Damayanthi? Which is that India and who are those Indian women who regard Sita, Savitri and Damayanthi to be their ideal? It should be clear that they are not the painted Indians of Nehruvian fantasy but Hindu women only. When Swami Vivekananda said, that the God thou worshippes is Umanath Shankara, he was talking to Hindus only. When he said that thou art born as a sacrifice to the Mother's altar, how could he have been addressing a Muslim or a Christian to whom the very idea of offering a sacrifice to the Mother is utterly repugnant? He said that the lower classes, the ignorant, the poor, the cobbler and the sweeper are your brothers. He did not say the Hindu and the Muslim, but the cobbler and the sweeper; he was clearly speaking of the two weakest and most helpless segments of Hindu society only. When he was referring to Brahmin Indian and Pariah Indian, he was only addressing the Hindu society at the two ends of which stand the Brahmin and the Pariah. Which is the Muslim or Christian nation that would accept the Gods and Goddesses of India are my God? When he said India's society is my sacred heaven, the Varanasi of my old age, who are the people to whom Varanasi is the Holiest place or abode? Who are the people who consider 'the soil of India my highest heaven', and not a Jahiliyyah or Dar-ul-harb? Who are the Indians that address their prayers to Gaurinath and Jagadambe? Thus, Vivekananda was bold in declaring 'We are a Hindu Nation'. Jinnah was bold in declaring on behalf of Pakistan 'We are a Muslim Nation'.

The devilish dance of pseudo-secularism

Vivekananda was not steeped in conceptual confusion about Hindu Nation, Hindutva, Hindu Rashtra, Hindu Culture and

above all Sanatana Dharma. On the other hand, Nehru loved to get into the State of self-chosen conceptual confusion about all things Hindu and brazen certitude about all things Islamic or Christian; Indira Gandhi got into a state of ecstasy in it, Rajiv Gandhi reveled in it and the UPA government under the surrogate stranglehold of Roman Catholicism are boisterously participating in the 'Dance of the Dervishes' today. Thus the devilish dance of pseudo-secularism is circular, complete and total!

For Vivekananda we are a Hindu Nation rooted in Sanatana Dharma, for Nehru we are a secular nation having nothing to do with things Hindu or Sanatana Dharma.

The message of Swami Vivekananda is addressed, word by word, to Hindus and to no one else. But he uses the words 'India' (Bharata) and 'Indian' (Bharatvasi) because for him the three words Hindu, India, Indian are synonymous. 'The Concept of Hindu Nation' are very apt: You would, however, notice that these days huge hoardings are put up by government of India in which it is written 'Proudly Proclaim, I am an Indian and every Indian is my brother', Swami Vivekananda'. This tearing of words from their context is clearly an attempt to spread the false notion among the general public that Swami Vivekananda had also used the word 'Bharatavasi' with the same connotation that Pundit Jawaharlal Nehru later put into the word 'Bharatiya' and the one that is being assiduously promoted by the State media since 1947, viz. a mere geographic Indian. This perniciously false nation perception of Nehru is being publicized today in the name of Swami Vivekananda, although Swamiji's vision of the nation was the very antithesis of Nehru's vision. For Vivekananda we are a Hindu Nation rooted in Sanatana Dharma. For Nehru we are a secular nation having nothing to do with things Hindu or Sanatana Dharma. According to Nehru's own statement, Sanatana Dharma is a destroyer of the nation.

The harsh fact is that on 15 August, 1947, the 'Muslim Nation' of undivided India, thanks to Jinnah, gained freedom, but not the Hindus.

Though we Hindus are a Nation today, yet we are not an independent nation. We are still a subjugated nation. In 1757, after the battle of Plassey, the British became Rulers instead of Muslims. Did that mean we had gained freedom then? Similarly, on 15 August 15, 1947, though the British left India, yet the Hindu nation could not get the ruling power in its hands because the new Rulers who have come to power neither believe that Hindus constitute a nation nor consider themselves as part of the Hindu nation. The harsh fact is that on 15 August, 1947, the 'Muslim Nation' of undivided India, thanks to Jinnah, gained freedom, but not the Hindus. The Muslims got recognition as a separate nation and a separate territory was carved out as their national homeland. They established their own State in that territory called Pakistan and that State is still conducting its affairs by holding aloft the cultural aspirations, honor and interests of the Muslims of Bharatvarsha as its responsibility. But Hindus of India, unfortunately, thanks to the anti-Hindu attitude of Nehru and his family, neither gained recognition as a nation, nor their own State, nor control over their national homeland. 'Ideology of Nehruism or Secularism or Anti-Hinduism guides all Secularist, Socialist, Communist and Islamist political parties in India, albeit with shades of variation here and there. Adherents to this ideology have willingly estranged themselves from the Hindu nation. It is clearly foolish and suicidal for Hindus to regard such persons as Hindus and consider themselves to be independent and self-ruling after handing over State power into their hands'.

Our objection is to the alien ideologies which only believe in total destruction of Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture

When I say 'we Hindus are a Nation', we should not forget the fact about the Muslims and Christians of India, namely, that they are our own people. Till they got converted some centuries ago, they were all Hindus. The only wall that stands between

them and us Hindus is their alien culture. Demolish this wall of Islam or Christianity and there would be nothing to separate the Indian Muslims and Indian Christians from the rest of us. Our objection is to Islamic and Christian hegemonic ideologies. For example, a Muslim who believes in the theology of Islam about 'Kafirs', can never be a member of the Hindu nation. According to such Muslims, 'Sanatana Dharma' is obnoxious; our Rishis and Sages were followers of the Devil; that all our ancestors will have their places reserved in Hell. Such people believe that all our Hindu Gods and Goddesses and Avatars are false abominations and that we are committing a cardinal sin by worshipping them. According to them, India is a Jahiliyyah waiting to be redeemed, that Allah himself has decreed it an act of great virtue (kar-i-sabab) to slaughter, plunder and torture the Hindus. Such people can by no means be part of the Hindu nation. In this context I am reminded of a Fatwa issued very recently by some Muslim Moulvis against reciting of Vande Mataram by school children in Hyderabad. Perhaps they may not be aware of the fact that this was the song which inspired all the citizens of India, including the Muslims, during the days of Swadeshi Movement in Bengal in 1905 and even much later till about 1921. If rejection of 'all things Hindu' and 'all things Indian', though inherently sacred and inviolable, can be claimed as a minority right, the majority Hindus have the over-riding primacy to claim their inalienable fundamental rights in their own native homeland. Our objection is not to the modes of worship of minorities or their religion but to their alien ideologies which only believe in total destruction of Sanatana Dharma and Hindu culture, the culture of the majority in the Hindu nation called India.

A State has to run its affairs in accordance with the hopes, aspirations, ethos, ideals and culture of the nation

'A State has to run its affairs in accordance with the hopes, aspirations, ethos, ideals and culture of the nation. It should represent the nation before the world, promote the image of the nation and welfare of its members, and spread the glory of its national culture.' India is a wretched land of constitutional

discrimination against the Hindus in overwhelming majority in our nation. The first hallmark of an independent nation is that it would have an independent State, and would be recognized by other countries as a nation. Its State has to run its affairs in accordance with the hopes, aspirations, ethos, ideals and culture of the nation. It should represent the nation before the world, promote the image of the nation and welfare of its members, and spread the glory of its national culture. Such a State would create consciousness of national honor, national pride and national heritage in the young and old generations. Such a State would never permit the rights and status of its nationals to be inferior to those of any minorities, aliens and non-nationals. The grim tragedy of post independent India after 1947 has been that none of these characteristics of the State hold good for the Hindus in overwhelming majority. 'Forget about other countries, the Indian State itself has not yet recognized Hindus as a nation. And since the State power in India has itself never taken any such initiative, the question of other countries recognizing Hindus as a nation does not arise at all.'

The Indian State is more liberal in advancing the cause of propagation of alien cultures like Christianity and Islam than the propagation of Hindu culture

Thanks to the vicious policy of minority vote bank politics pursued for 60 years after 1947, the Indian Constitution in effect gives less rights to the Hindus than to the minorities in several matters. For example, under Article 30 of the Constitution, minorities have got the most precious right of running educational institutions in accordance with their own cultures and values, but Hindus have been denied this right. In my view, this is State-sponsored, State-guided and State-imposed discrimination of the vilest kind. Does it not patently show that the Indian State is more liberal in advancing the cause of propagation of alien cultures like Christianity and Islam than the propagation of Hindu culture? According to the Indian State, Adi Shankara, Ramanuja, Guru Nanak, Guru Gobind Singh, Gauthama Buddha, Maha Veera and all the

Vedic saints, sages and seers, on account of their birth and belief, should enjoy a 'second class status' compared with Prophet Mohammed or Jesus Christ. In some of the States in India, a particular non-Hindu community is numerically predominant. In such States, the State government has been granted special rights under the Indian Constitution. Article 370 applicable to the State of Jammu and Kashmir is a case in point. Similar provisions have been made applicable to Nagaland under Article 371-A and Mizoram under Article 371-G. In all these specially favorite areas of the Union Government, Laws made by India's Parliament would not be applicable unless their own State Legislatures endorse them. In other words, States with a preponderance of the minorities will have greater autonomy in day-to-day administration than the States where Hindus are in absolute majority. Even the preamble of the Indian Constitution does not contain any vital Hindu idea. It enumerates no principles based on Hindu ethos and ideals. Ideas of the French Revolution like justice, equality, fraternity and liberty have been grafted on to it in a soulless manner. They may be good ideas in themselves, but what is the inspiration behind them? Are they not Western ideals rooted in the Western ethos? They do not reflect the national ideals of Bharatvarsha as a Hindu nation. Swami Vivekananda kept on exhorting us that the ideals of our nation ought to be 'Dharma' and 'spirituality', 'renunciation' and 'service', 'tolerance' and 'harmony'. 'Satyam vada', 'Dharmam chara' (speak the truth, abide by Dharma) has been basic theme of Hindu culture from the dawn of history.

Pseudo-secularism at its noblest, purest and loftiest level!

Let me now go to the Laws of the land, and the policy of the State. In most of the States in India, Minorities Finance Corporations have been formed. They are meant only to assist the minorities. The basic pre-condition for getting a loan is that 'he must not be a Hindu.' You may be a learned yet destitute Hindu, a starving Hindu struggling to earn a rupee, but you cannot be financed. Get converted tomorrow to Islam or Christianity and you will instantaneously get the loan. This is

pseudo-secularism at its noblest, purest and loftiest level! The Government of India and many State governments have passed a 'Minorities Commission Act' to make a special arrangement for the judicial protection of those who are 'not Hindus.' The Commissions that have been formed in pursuance of these Laws grant representation to followers of alien creeds but not to a Hindu, i.e. to a follower of 'Sanathana Dharma' who wishes to call himself a Hindu. 'It appears that the Hindus neither need solution of their social problems, nor the protection of their collective community rights.' It is a well-known historical fact that the Moplahs of Kerala carried out a brutal massacre of Hindus in Malabar in 1921. They plundered thousands of Hindu homes and burnt Hindu villages, they raped Hindu women and destroyed Hindu Temples. They are the honored sons of India today! Some of those Moplahs as are still alive are being honored by the government of India as freedom fighters and given a monthly pension for reasons even Gods do not know! The Moplahs are never known to have fought the British on any other occasion.

The Supreme Court of India has indicted the government of India and some State governments for pursuing anti-national policy

Blessed by the Central government, the State governments of Assam, West Bengal, Bihar and Delhi have been willingly permitting millions of Bangladeshi Muslims to infiltrate into our country during the last several years. They are given indirect encouragement and protection, showing a keenness to give them full benefits of citizenship by issuing rations cards to them, entering their names in voters list and so on. The Supreme Court of India has recently indicted the government of India and the concerned State governments for pursuing this anti-national policy.

What has the government of India done to stop this planned genocide of Hindus in Jammu and Kashmir?

Over the last few years, there have been several incidents in Punjab and Jammu, in which some passengers were

segregated and dragged out of buses to be lined up on the road side and shot to death. We should remember that in each of these incidents, the victims of the butchery, persons killed like stray dogs, were Hindus and Hindus alone. And they were killed because they were Hindus. Are Hindus free in their own native land? The entire Hindu population of the valley of Kashmir has been languishing outside the State in make-shift tents. What has the government of India done to stop this planned genocide of Hindus in Jammu and Kashmir? Will Ram Vilas Paswan who wants a Muslim to be a Chief Minister of Bihar have the political/moral courage to simultaneously declare that only a Hindu can be a Chief Minister in Jammu and Kashmir?

There is no State today in India, to protect Hindu interests in the international arena, to raise voice for the Hindus

I am firmly of the view that there is no State today, at any rate not in India, to protect Hindu interests in the international arena, to raise voice for the Hindus. If Jews are unjustly treated in any part of the world, the State of Israel, representative of an independent Jewish nation, immediately raises its voice. Some years ago, when Jews were killed in a bomb explosion in Argentina, the government of Israel took less than half an hour to announce that it will not spare the murderers. Government of India is not able to give physical protection to the devout Hindus going to the Amarnath Temple in Jammu and Kashmir, within our own nation, who are brutally attacked or killed every year. At the same time, the government of India accords a higher priority to the allotment of more funds to the Muslim Haj pilgrims than to the security considerations of the Hindus!

The present Constitution of India is not based on Hindu ideals and ethos.

'We have seen that the present Constitution of India is not based on Hindu ideals and ethos. Its provisions have been framed disregarding Hindu values and Hindu cultural and social traditions. It is always the preamble of a nation's Constitution which proclaims what is its national identity, what are its

national ideals and goals, what is its national culture, for the weal of which society has it been formed. The present Constitution of India appears to be screaming at the top of its voice to announce that the India it talks of represents neither the Hindu nation, nor the Hindu religion, nor the Hindu culture, nor the Hindu society. 'By no stretch of imagination can you consider it a Constitution for the Hindus or of the Hindu nation. A Constitution that ignores Hindu ideals, which gives the nation less right than to its minorities, which does not recognize Hindus as a nation cannot be our Constitution. In my opinion, those who regard the present Constitution of India as their own, no matter how big leaders they may be -have not yet comprehended the concept of the Hindu nation.'

Our inherited, inalienable, indivisible, inexorable and immutable historic right to liberate Hundreds of holy sites of the Hindus

Hundreds of holy sites of the Hindus, famed in song and legend and the scriptures dating back to the dawn of history – be they in Ayodhya, Mathura, and Kasi, or in Ujjain, Dwaraka, Devagiri, Patan, Ajmer, Kangra, Thanesar or anywhere else – are being trampled down till this day under monuments of victory erected by alien invaders. We have not been able to liberate them. Even our inherited, inalienable, indivisible, inexorable and immutable historic right to liberate them has not been conceded by the pseudo-secular Indian State after our independence. On the contrary, the Indian Parliament passed a law that 'any attempt to liberate them would be construed as a serious criminal offence'.

The GOI has been trying to edge out Sanskrit, the sacred language of the Hindus from the days of the Vedas and the Upanishads, with political vendetta.

The greatest treasure and the finest heritage which India possesses is the Sanskrit Language. Sanskrit is a most wonderful language. Friedrich Schlegel, the great German Indologist who established the first Chair of Indology in Germany at Hanmohen paid his tribute to Sankrit language in

these words: 'Justly it is called Sanskrit, that is 'Perfect and Finished'. Sanskrit combines these various qualities possessed separately by other tongues: Grecian copiousness, deep-tone Roman force, the divine afflatus characterizing the Hebrew tongues. Judged by an organic standard of the principal elements of language, Sanskrit excels in grammatical structure and is indeed the most perfectly developed of all idioms, not excepting Greek and Latin.' The Government of India under the stranglehold of the Congress Party starting from August 15, 1947 has been systematically trying to edge out Sanskrit, the sacred language of the Hindus from the days of the Vedas and the Upanishads, with professional thoroughness and political vendetta. Most of the State Governments have taken 'effective'(!) steps to even throw it out of the school curriculum. By contrast, Urdu which is primarily the language of Muslims, which is written in a foreign script, which is the official language of Pakistan and which had played an important role in fanning Muslim separatism ever since the creation of the Muslim League by Lord Minto in 1906, is being blatantly and unabashedly encouraged through a subtle process of State-sponsored incentives and grants. 'Today Urdu is made the official language of some States, tomorrow it is recognized as a medium of examination, the day after it becomes the language of Doordarshan and so on'. If any citizen in India wants to start a school to impart education in Islamic or Christian theology, the Central and State Governments will come forward enthusiastically to give grants to them. In certain cases, they may even be willing to meet the entire expenditure connected with the running of school on many fronts. But if you were to start a school where you want to educate your children about Hindu Dharma and Culture, teaching them the Bhagavat Gita with invocations to Goddess Saraswati, neither the Central Government nor the State Government will be willing to fund such a school. The UPA Government is capable of coming out with a Hitler or Stalin type legislation completely prohibiting the teaching of ancient Indian scriptures like the Bhagavat Gita, the Vedas and the Upanishads! I am constrained to say this because one of the text books produced by NCERT described Ramakrishna Paramahansa as 'a mentally unbalanced man'.

The minions of pseudo-secular Arjun Singh in the NCERT may not be aware of the fact that Romain Rolland (1866-1944), who was awarded the Nobel Prize for literature in 1915, had this to say about Ramakrishna Paramahamsa: 'Ramakrishna Paramahamsa with his intuitive genius for souls, for whom time was not, and who could discern in the twinkling of an eye the whole flood of the future, believed, that he had seen his great disciple Naren, later to become Swami Vivekananda, in the womb of the elect before he met him in the flesh. His judgement was a sound one. He needed a strong body, arms to turn over the earth, legs to journey over it, a bodyguard of workers and the head to command them, in addition to his great heart charged with love for the whole world. Ramakrishna has to be commended for seeing at once in this wavered tormented and storm-tossed adolescent, as Narendra then was, the future leader, exactly the Evangelist he was expecting.'

An affront to the dignity of Indian culture and self-respect of individual Indians.

The baggage of every Hindu who lands today at the Airports of Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Riyadh, Tehran, etc. is searched. If it yields even a small portrait of a Hindu God or Goddess, a copy of the Bhagavat Gita or Satyarth Prakash, or a locket of Sri Ram, the objects are pulled out of the bag, broken and violently consigned to the trash bin. Government of India does not consider this as an affront to the dignity of Indian culture. Nor does it care for the dignity and self-respect of individual Indians.

The fraudulently malicious superstructure of pseudo-secularism erected by the Congress party after independence

According to Government of India, all non-Hindus by birth, by definition and by law – including the most dreaded and known terrorists – are Indians. Not all the Hindus are considered as Indians either by birth or by definition or by law. This is the quintessence of the fraudulently malicious superstructure of pseudo-secularism erected by the Congress party after

independence, taking advantage of the colossal ignorance of myriad millions of India.

The Hindu nation is rooted in Sanatana Dharma

Swami Vivekananda and Sri Aurobindo declared that the Hindu nation is rooted in Sanatana Dharma. Sanatana Dharma does not mean 'Varnashrama Dharma'. Those who interpret Sanatana Dharma as Varnashrama Dharma are either steeped in Himalayan ignorance about Sanatana Dharma or are interested only in communal vote bank politics for getting into positions of self and power in the India of today. The wisdom of inspired Seers and Sages is recorded in various Samhitas, Sutras, Smritis and other fragments. The corpus of all these is 'Sanatana Dharma', Eternal Order or Dharma. Through millennia, Hindu society has been molded by it. Though necessarily Indian and Hindu in garb, its principles have a timeless relevance to any society, anywhere, any time.

A Hindu nation founded on Sanatana Dharma ought to be the cherished goal of all the Hindus in India today

'The religion based on the Vedas, the Sanatana Dharma or Vaidika Dharma, is the oldest of living religions and stands unrivaled in the depth and splendor of its philosophy, while it yields to none in the purity of its ethical teachings, and in the flexibility and varied adaptation of its rites and ceremonies. It is like a river, which has shallows that a child may play in, and depths which the strongest diver cannot fathom. It is thus adapted to every human need, and there is nothing which any religion can add to its rounded perfection. The more it is studied and practiced, the more does it illuminate the intellect and satisfy the heart.' A Hindu nation founded on Sanatana Dharma ought to be the cherished goal of all the Hindus in India today and not a fraudulent nation founded on pseudo-secularism. All the Roman Catholics have their own nations. All the Protestant Christians have their own nations. All the Muslims have their own nations. The Hindus have been the primordial citizens of Akhand Bharat from the beginning of pre-history and they cannot be denied a spiritual and physical space of their own in

their own native land. The saddest part of the story is that the dastardly policy of pseudo-secularism has made all the peace-loving Hindus neglected, sidelined and discarded refugees in their own native land. In this context, Sri Aurobindo spoke for all the Hindus for all time on April 18, 1923 when he said: 'I am sorry they are making a fetish of this Hindu-Muslim unity. It is no use ignoring facts; some day the Hindus may have to fight the Muslims and they must be prepared for it. Hindu-Muslim unity should not mean the subjection of the Hindus. Every time the mildness of the Hindus has given way. The best solution would be to allow the Hindus to organize themselves and the Hindu-Muslim unity would take care of itself; it would automatically solve the problem. Otherwise, we are lulled into a false sense of satisfaction that we have solved a difficult problem, when in fact we have only shelved it.' In my view Sri Aurobindo was no less patriotic than Sonia Gandhi, Arjun Singh, A.R. Antulay and Dr. Manmohan Singh!

What Hindus need is to wake up and unite, to recognize their common spiritual heritage and work together to manifest it in the world today

To routinely dismiss all Hindus as fundamentalists or fascist as the pseudo-secular politicians in India do today, in my view, is a gross abuse of language. 'What Hindus need is to wake up and unite, to recognize their common spiritual heritage and work together to manifest it in the world today, just as modern teachers like Vivekananda and Aurobindo demonstrated through their lives and teachings. Such teachers did not speak of Hindu fundamentalism. They recognized Hindu backwardness, but sought to remedy it by going to the core of Hindu spirituality, the spirit of Unity in recognition of the Divine in all, not by trying to cast a shadow on Hinduism as a whole'.

Nehru declared his political intention to drive out Hinduism and Sanatana Dharma from India

The first Quit India Movement launched by Mahatma Gandhi in August 1942 was directed towards removing the British rule from India. The Congress party under Nehru launched a 'new'

Quit India Movement after our independence in 1947. This Movement right from the start had an opposite intent, to embrace Western materialist culture and abandon traditional Hindu culture and spirituality perhaps altogether. Nehru declared his political intention to drive out Hinduism and Sanatana Dharma from India. This movement is very strong in India today, particularly among the so-called modern Hindus, who are largely Western-educated and trained to look at their native tradition with alien values and suspicious eyes. The so called intellectual elite of India takes pride in being in living touch with the latest developments in Western culture, art, science and technology, while remaining blissfully ignorant and unappreciative of traditional Hindu teachings.

Labored intellectual and political arguments for supporting the wanton attacks on Sanatana Dharma and Hinduism by alien ideologies

Whenever Hindu temples get attacked by the terrorists or Hindus get killed by terrorists, all the Muslim and Christian organizations of India maintain an attitude of stoic indifference and silence. For them treating the Hindus as kafirs and infidels is an article of faith according to the Quran or the Bible as the case may be and that too in our own homeland. Hindus of India are not going to love them any more than they love the Hindus. Love like sex is a two way traffic and cannot be dismissed as a unilateral act of grace or violence. The western educated pseudo-secular men and the pseudo-secular politicians in positions of pelf, power and authority, are not ashamed of inventing labored intellectual and political arguments for strongly supporting the wanton attacks on Sanatana Dharma and Hinduism by alien ideologies represented by the global forces of terrorist Islam and proselytizing Christianity.

The long travail of struggle, sorrow, suffering undergone by Hindus for more than thousand years under the stranglehold of alien rulers

Modern Hindus in India get confused and overawed by the tremendous social and economic problems plaguing India

today, for which they tend to lay the blame on the Hindu religion. They are showing such poor understanding of well known facts of history which millions of Arjun Singhs and trillions of Marxist, pseudo-secular and anti-Hindu historians can never succeed in stamping out from the pages of authentic history. The might of the government of India and the mightier financial strength of global Islam and proselytizing Christianity can never succeed in burying the cardinal truth about the long travail of struggle, sorrow, suffering undergone by Hinduism and Hindus for more than thousand years under the stranglehold of alien rulers. The spirit of Sanatana Dharma was destroyed over seven hundred years of Islamic Rule from 1000 A.D to 1707 A.D. After that came the British rule which gave finishing touches to the cultural destruction of Hindu India. After 1000 A.D, Hindu rulers have never been in total control of India like the Islamic rulers or British rulers.

The pseudo-secular rulers have raped Bharath Mata with gay abandon during the last 60 years

After 15 August 1947, India has been ruled only by anti-Hindu Westernized Hindus residing in India. These pseudo-secular rulers have raped Bharath Mata with gay abandon during the last 60 years. Only non-resident Hindus abroad, living apart from their cultural base today, have developed great affection and nostalgia for Hinduism and Sanatana Dharma and their glorious past. This makes traditional Hindu values of family, natural living and spirituality more appealing to them. The UPA government particularly under the influence of all brands and shades of Communism has contempt for these traditional Hindu values. The Damocles Sword of Roman Catholicism and global Islam hangs over the canopy of the UPA government in New Delhi.

It is not India's Dharma to slavishly imitate the west in culture, mind or religion

David Frawley, better known as Acharya Vamadeva Shastri has written a brilliant book under the title 'Arise Arjuna – Hinduism and the modern world'. In this book he has correctly

observed that each country, like each person, has a soul and a destiny. India has lost her soul and its destiny, which is to be a land of religious freedom and spiritual practices. Unless a person lives up to their soul value or Dharma, he or she cannot be successful or happy in life. The same is true of a country. It is not the soul or Dharma of India to become another westernized economic giant, which is not to say that India needs to remain poor. It is not her Dharma to become another Stalin's or Hitler's or Mao-Tse-Tung's alien land. Nor is it her Dharma to adopt an exclusive religious belief like that of one Allah Islam or One God Christianity which claim that all other religions are false, inferior or out of date. Above all, it is not India's Dharma to slavishly imitate the west in culture, mind or religion.

Revive India's spiritual culture and share it for the benefit of all mankind

Should not India wake up to her destiny? That destiny beckons us to revive her spiritual culture and share it for the benefit of all mankind. This demands that the intellectual elite of the country desist from denigrating the soul of India in hasty and superficial pseudo-secular attempts to be modern and humanitarian. It calls for a new Hinduism that is capable of shedding the social evils of older Hinduism while maintaining the perennial and greater spiritual basis of the tradition.

What would a national vision mean?

The main task before us Hindus today is that we should rescue ourselves from the suicidal stranglehold of the alternative Nation-Perception of Gandhi and Nehru, and awaken national consciousness in ourselves. We have to develop a clear national vision. Such a national vision would mean the following:

- We Hindus are a Nation, not a religious community. There must be a firm conviction in our minds that our national identity is Hindu. We would declare the name of

our nationality to be Hindu, and no other name would be acceptable to us.

- The distinctive feature of our Nation is Sanatana Dharma. In its myriad forms such as Shakta, Shaiva, Sikh, Buddhist, Jain etc. It is this Dharma which gives us our national identity. We shall not accept any other ideology as a heritage of our country. We cannot regard any alien creed as our own religion.
- Undivided India or Akhand Bharath is our mother-land. Even though parts of India have seceded today, we do not accept this division. Our goal is to re-unite the whole of our ancestral homeland into our motherland, no matter how long it takes.
- Hindu culture is our national culture. Hindu society is our national society. Hindu art is our national art. Hindu literature is our national literature. Hindu history is our national history.
- People subscribing to the theologies of alien religions are minorities in our country. We consider these alien ideologies to be enemies of the nation. Our goal ought to be to bring them back into the mainstream of our national heritage.
- Sanskrit, mother of all Indian languages, is our national mother tongue.
- We are still a subjugated and enslaved nation. We are all slaves under the stranglehold of Macaulayism, Nehruism, Secularism, Islam, Christianity and Communism. We have to liberate our motherland from their stranglehold and earn our freedom.

Need for a national consciousness or clarity of national vision among the Hindus

There has been no national consciousness or clarity of national vision among the Hindus. The main reason for this national disaster is the total failure of intellectual awakening among the Hindus as a whole. The fundamental mistake that dogs Hindu perception today is that we are assuming Nehruvians or

secularists to be Hindus because of mere accident of their birth, and having entrusted the State power in our homeland to their hands. What Shri Aurobindo rightly asked in 1907 is as relevant today as it was then: Do you think that God has utterly abandoned us and given us up for ever to be a mere convenience for the West, the helots of its commerce, and the feeders of its luxury and pride?

The foremost task before the patriotic and nationalistic Hindus in India today

Thinking of Marxists, Nehruvian secularists and all our wicked politicians, I am reminded of the following passage in the Katha Upanishad: Living in the midst of ignorance, considering themselves to be wise, the deluded wander confused, like the blind led by the blind. The way to truth does not appear to a confused immature mind, deluded by the illusion of wealth (materialism). Thinking that this world alone exists and that there is nothing beyond, they ever return again and again to the net of death.

The Hindu Nation Has To Gain Its Independence

Is it not high time that the people of India started to heed the words of their ancient sages, even if it meant questioning the so-called modern professors soaked in western learning with no roots in India? The foremost task before the patriotic and nationalistic Hindus in India today can be summed up in substance: To Secure Our Freedom. As Abhas Chatterjee puts it with the eloquence of a Right Honorable Srinivasa Shastri: Those who say that we have to establish a Hindu Nation, use wrong words; the correct and exact words ought to be –The Hindu Nation Has To Gain Its Independence. We have to start a new struggle for independence, the Hindu nation has to break the shackles of slavery and earn real freedom. This should be our first national goal. After securing freedom for Sanatana Dharma, we have to set up our own national state – A Hindu Nation-State.